

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/732,840

Examiner

Elizabeth Keane

Applicant(s)

WILSON ET AL.

Art Unit

2882

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to RCE filed 28 September 2005.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3 and 5-12.
3. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/682,494.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 28 September 2005 has been entered.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Re claims 1-3: The best prior art discloses a method for generating an x-ray beam, the method comprising the steps of: operating a cathode, directing the electron beam from the cathode through a selectable shaped aperture in an accelerating electrode and impinging the electron beam at an acute angle on the anode surface. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a method of generating an x-ray beam, wherein the accelerating electrode is positioned in a central recess of the anode surface, as claimed in claim 1. Claims 2 and 3 are allowed by virtue of their dependency.

Re claims 5-7: The best prior art discloses an x-ray source comprising: a cathode, an accelerating electrode comprising a selectable shaped aperture and an

Art Unit: 2882

anode. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest an x-ray source wherein the accelerating electrode is positioned in a central recess of the anode surface, as claimed in claim 5. Claims 6 and 7 are allowed by virtue of their dependency.

Re claims 8-10: The best prior art discloses an imaging system comprising a gantry, a detector and an x-ray source coupled to the gantry, wherein the x-ray source comprises: a cathode, an accelerating electrode comprising a selectable shaped aperture and an anode. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest an imaging system comprising an x-ray source wherein the accelerating electrode is positioned in a central recess of the anode surface, as claimed in claim 8. Claims 9 and 10 are allowed by virtue of their dependency.

Re claims 11 and 12: The best prior art of record discloses an x-ray source comprising: means for generating an electron beam, means for accelerating electrons away from the generating means and means for generating x-ray beams when the electron beam impinges thereon at an acute angle. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest an x-ray source, wherein the means for accelerating electrons is located in a central recess of an anode surface, as claimed in claim 11. Claim 12 is allowed by virtue of its dependency.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2882


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Keaney whose telephone number is (571)272-2489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571)272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


emk


EDWARD J. GLICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER